Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,935	KRELLER, HELMUT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernesto Garcia	3679
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) Ernesto Garcia.	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Raffaele DeMarco</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 December 2007	Time: <u>11:50AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 2, 17, 21, and 24		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
·		
(Francisca (CDF Circus)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	anvApplicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner presented language to make clear how the length of the spacer profile of the second coupling element is being compared relative to the maximum projecting length of the first coupling unit, which appear in claims 21 and 24. The examiner also called to correct minor formalities with claims 2, 17, 21, and 24. In particular, the recitation "corner" was missing from claims 2 and 17. Mr. DeMarco agreed to the changes as presented in the examiner's amendment.